

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,644	YOKOYAMA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791	

All Participants:

(1) MATTHEW J. DANIELS. (3) _____.

(2) Carolyn Fischer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

19, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 19 was noted to be dependent on canceled Claim 7. Ms. Fischer also noted that Claim 20 should have also been dependent on cancelled Claim 7. It was agreed that Claims 19 and 20 would both be cancelled by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew J. Daniels/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)